(2) (4)

Single Bench
Division Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATUR AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO. 877 2006 Division Bench

APPELLANT
CLAIMANTS

Kumari Neha @ Rashmi Yadu D/o Late Dhanesh Kumar Yadu,
Aget about 13 years
Appellant are represented by legal Guardian mother Smt. Kanti Yadu,
R/o M.I.G.-36 Housing Board Colony
Kota Raipur, Distt. Raipur(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT
NON-APPLICANT

1. Mustkeem @ Munna,
Aged about 24 years
R/o Village & Post Silyari,
P.S. Dharsiwa,
Distt- Raipur(C.G.)
2. Smt. Akhtari Bai W/o Md. Rehman,
R/o Village & Post Silyari, P.S. Dharsiwa,
Distt. Raipur(C.G.)
3. The United India Insurance Co. Ltd.
By Divisional Manager Divisional Office
Kachahari Chowk Jail Road Raipur(C.G.)
4. Goverdhan S/o Tirith Nirmalkar,
Aged about 31 years, R/o Village Mandir Hasod, P.S. Mandir Hasod,
Distt. Raipur(C.G.)
5. Chawla Road Career,
Bilaspur Road Fafadih Raipur(C.G.)
6. National Insurance Co. Ltd.
By Divisional Manager, National Insurance Co. Ltd., G.E. Road, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur(C.G.)

S.R. No. 1289 dt. 6
Presented by Shri ... Advocate
dated 21/2/06

Miscellaneous Appeal under/section 173 Motor Vehicle Act 1988

Being aggrieved by the Judgement and

(15)

वकालत–नामा (अधिकार पत्र)

(29)

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.A.No. 877/ **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	30.04.2007 Shri S.C.Verma, counsel for the appellant. Shri M.K.Bhaduri, Advocate on behalf of Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, counsel for respondent No.3. Shri S.S.Rajput, counsel for respondent No.5. Heard on M.C.P. No. 1205/2006 application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal as the instant appeal has been preferred with a delay of 51 days as per office note dated 13.04.2006. The reasons assigned for delay in filing the appeal is that the appellant did not have knowledge of limitation. However, the reasons assigned by the appellant for the delay in filing the application is not sufficient and therefore M.C.P. No. 1205/2006 application for condonation of delay is rejected. Consequently the appeal is dismissed as barred by limitation. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

GRPRJ — FS/246— 2/2007 — 50,000.